FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE. LLP

PATENT TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW

MARK E. BANDY
JOHN P. CORNELY
JOSEPH D. DREHER
JUDE A. FRY
STEVEN M. HAAS
MICHAEL E. HUDZINSKI
RICHARD M. KLEIN
THOMAS E. KOCOVSKY, JR.
SANDRA M. KOENIG
SCOTT A. MCCOLLISTER
JAMES W. MCKEE
JAY F. MOLDOVANYI
PHILIP J. MOY, JR.
TIMOTHY E. NAUMAN

PATRICK R. ROCHE
JAMES E. SCARBROUGH
ANN M. SKERRY, PH.D.
MARK S. SVAT
BRIAN E. TURUNG
GREGORY S. VICKERS
ROBERT V. VICKERS

OF COUNSEL

E. KENT DANIELS, JR.
CHRISTOPHER B. FAGAN
RICHARD J. MINNICH
THOMAS E. YOUNG

1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE

SEVENTH FLOOR

CLEVELAND, OHIO 44114-2579

TELEPHONE (216) 861-5582

FAX (216) 241-1666

WEB: www.faysharpe.com

April 20, 2005

MATTHEW P. DUGAN
PATRICK D. FLOYD
COLLEEN FLYNN GOSS
KARL W. HAUBER
ERIC M. HIGHMAN
ERIK J. OVERBERGER
SUE ELLEN PHILLIPS
ROBERT A. SIDOTI
SCOTT M. SLABY
JOSEPH E. WATERS
JONATHAN A. WITHROW
JEFFREY N. ZAHN
MARINA V. ZALEVSKY
JOHN S. ZANGHI

REGISTERED PATENT AGENTS
KEVIN M. DUNN
ROBERT M. SIEG, PH.D.
THOMAS TILLANDER
ALEX P. TSAROUMAS
GUOSHENG WANG, PH.D.

ALBERT P. SHARPE, III

Attn: IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT Commissioner

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Re:

Article 34 Amendment responsive to Written Opinion of the

International Preliminary Examining Authority Applicant: Q-Panel Lab Products Corporation

Application No.: PCT/US04/06123; Filed 01 March 2004

Our Reference No.: QPCZ 2 000041 PCT

Dear Examiner:

This Article 34 amendment and the accompanying remarks are being filed concurrently with a Chapter II Demand in response to the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority.

In this amendment, claims 1, 8, 9, 12 and 13 have been amended. Replacement sheets have been provided. For the benefit of the Examiner, an appendix has been added to indicate changes to the claims where strikethrough portions indicate words that have been deleted from the claim and underlined portions indicate words that have been added to the claim. Claims 32-34 have been cancelled without prejudice.

In Box No. VII of the Written Opinion, the Examiner indicated that numeral 12 is missing from FIGURE 1 and numeral 20 is missing from FIGURE 7. A replacement sheet where numeral 12 has been added to FIGURE 1 accompanies this letter. As for FIGURE 7, numeral 20 is shown in the drawings that were submitted in the Response to Invitation to Correct Defects submitted by Applicant on June 4, 2004.

In the reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, the Examiner indicated that claims 1-2, 5-8 and 15-17 lacked inventive step as being obvious over Grossman (U.S. Patent No. 6,285,137) in view of Tomiita (U.S. Patent No. 5,476,636). The Examiner did, however, indicate that claim 12, which depended from claim 1, was patentable. Claim 1 has been amended to include some, but not all, of the limitations recited in original claim 12 and is believed to patentably define over the cited references. Neither Grossman nor Tomiita discloses a multiple blower system and a temperature controller where the temperature controller receives data from a first temperature sensor and a second temperature sensor and controls the blower system in response to the data received. Accordingly, claim 1 and those claims that depend from claim 1 are patentable over the cited references.

The Examiner indicated that claims 19-23 and 28-31 lacked inventive step as being obvious over Grossman in view of Tomiita and further in view of Kashima (U.S. Patent No. 4,817,447). Claim 19 as filed includes an inventive step over the cited references. Claim 19 recites "wetting the test specimen with a corrosive solution such that drops form on a surface of the test specimen." Tomiita fails to disclose wetting the test specimen such that drops form on the test specimen. To the contrary, Tomita discloses dipping the sample 6 in a solution and then inclining the sample holder 7 to allow the solution to flow down the sample holder and off of the sample. Accordingly, drops are not formed on the surface of the test specimen, but instead all solution runs off of the test specimen. Not to be limited by the specific examples provided in Applicant's disclosure, by providing drops on the test specimen, the solution can puddle, which more accurately simulates the conditions of an automobile trunk, top or hood. None of the cited references disclose any suggestion to modify Tomiita such that the test specimen is wetted with a corrosive solution such that drops form on the surface of the test specimen. Accordingly, it is submitted that claim 19 and those claims that depend from claim 19 are patentable over the cited references.

In view of the amendments made to the claims and the above remarks, it is submitted that the currently pending claims satisfy the requirements for novelty and inventive step. Reconsideration of the claims as amended herein is respectfully requested prior to issuance of the International Preliminary Examination Report.

Respectfully submitted,

Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP

Date

Jonathan A. Withrow (U.S. Reg. No. 54,548)

1100 Superior Ave.

Seventh Floor

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

216-861-5582 (tel)

216-241-1666(fax)

Agent for Applicant Q-Panel Lab Products Corporation

Enclosures (x3)
FILENAME \p N:\QPCZ\200041\PCT\AMD0004165V001.doc